AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency: U.S. Department of HUD

Name of Agency CERPO: Matthew E. Ammon

Email address of Agency CERPO: Matthew.E.Ammon@hud,gov

Agency submitter (if different from agency CERPO): Nelson A. Rivera

Submitter email address: Nelson.A.Rivera@hud.gov

*If this form is submitted by a Permitting Council member's designee, please provide a delegation memo with the self-assessment submission.

SECTION 1. EXAMPLES OF BP IMPLEMENTATION

While FPISC OED does not require an implementation narrative for each BP category, it encourages each member agency to highlight its successes and challenges for each category. This can be in the form of identifying positive outcomes from implementation of a BP during FY 2020 or from identifying where a BP did not prevent the issue it was intended to prevent and how the agency or Permitting Council may want to consider addressing that. The specific BPs to be reported on are listed in Table 1 of the Fiscal Year 2020 Recommended Best Practices for Environmental Reviews and Authorizations for Infrastructure Projects and in Appendix B. Please note, BP Categories and BPs have been shortened in the dropdowns for ease of use.

Agencies are also encouraged to identify and submit "alternative BPs" that reflect where the agencies have implemented process improvements that meet the statutory BP categories but not one of the specific BPs identified in the FY 2020 BP Report. Alternative BPs should be submitted to FPISC OED by August 28, 2020 for approval before submitting the BP through this form. If your alternative BP has been approved, please choose "Alternative BP" from the "Corresponding BP" drop-down menu below for the appropriate entry.

Please adhere to a word count limit of 200 words per BP example in this section (i.e., if you provide examples of implementation of four BPs, please use four template boxes below for a total word count of 800 words), while thoroughly reflecting your accomplishments and challenges. Provide links and/or citations as appropriate, and provide as many relevant examples as possible. If you would like to provide more than four examples of BP implementation, please contact FPISC OED for a supplemental form.

Best Practice Category: (vi) GIS and other tools	Corresponding BP: v.2 Joint processes or prog
HUD's Office of Environment and Energy believes that HUD's Tribal Directory Assessment Tool (TDAT) should be cited as a best practice/example on behalf of HUD as a federal participant. HUD, ACHP and FPISC recommend that TDAT be considered as a ready made solution to the desire for a government-wide tribal contact database. With enhancements and staff support, TDAT could suit the needs of FAST41/OFD covered projects as well as a wide range of other infrastructure development activities. TDAT provides a database of tribal contact information and the counties	

Best Practice Category: (i) Early stakeholder engagem	Corresponding BP: i.1 Early stakeholder engagem

Best Practice Category: (i) Early stakeholder engagem	Corresponding BP: i.1 Early stakeholder engagem

Best Practice Category: (i) Early stakeholder engagem	Corresponding BP: i.1 Early stakeholder engagem

SECTION 2. FAST-41 PROJECT SPECIFIC PERMITTING IMPROVEMENTS/OUTCOMES

This section should highlight examples from specific projects. Please include agency innovations, initiatives, successes, and identification of and resolution to challenges. Agencies are encouraged to report on FPISC OED recommendations from the FY 2019 ARC (See OED Assessment, Part 2).

Please adhere to a total word count limit of 300 words for this section, while thoroughly reflecting your accomplishments and challenges. Provide links and/or citations as appropriate. Provide as many relevant examples as possible in the space provided below.

The only HUD project listed on the permitting dashboard was completed March 12, 2020. The project was delayed in order to respond to the very high number of responses to the public Notice. Project specific permitting improvements/outcomes took place and were reported in Reports prior to 2019.

SECTION 3. PERMITTING PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS (GENERAL)

Please document activities undertaken by the agency in FY 2020 that may be outside the information captured in the two sections above. Examples include challenges addressed or looking to be addressed through actions like: new tracking systems, procedural changes, outreach efforts/information gathering, regulatory changes, and interagency discussions/working groups/agreements/collaboration through the Permitting Council and with other Permitting Council member agencies. This section provides a space to report any new and unexpected challenges with novel solutions developed by agencies. Addressing challenges that were anticipated, then addressed by existing or new BPs can be included in sections 1 and 2.

Please provide a narrative for each process improvement in a separate section below. Please adhere to a total word count limit of 300 words for this section, while thoroughly reflecting your accomplishments and challenges. Provide links and/or citations as appropriate. Provide as many relevant examples as possible in the space provided below.

HUD's Office of Environment and Energy believes that HUD's Tribal Directory Assessment Tool (TDAT) should be cited as a best practice/example on behalf of HUD as a federal participant. HUD, ACHP and FPISC recommend that TDAT be considered as a readymade solution to the desire for a government-wide tribal contact database. With enhancements and staff support, TDAT could suit the needs of FAST-41 covered projects as well as a wide range of other infrastructure development

---

This information has been reviewed by the Permitting Council Member and CERPO, and others as necessary (public affairs, legislative affairs, office of general counsel staff). I understand that this information will be used as submitted in the FPISC Annual Report to Congress and is testimony-ready for use by Administration officials. ☑

Matthew Ammon

CERPO Name (or designee)	Signature

SUBMIT